2.3 Theorems 33

\*S63 
$$(P \to Q) \to R, S \to (\sim Q \to T) \vdash R \lor \sim T \to (S \to R)$$
\*S64  $(P \to Q) \& (R \to P), (P \lor R) \& \sim (Q \& R) \vdash (P \& Q) \& \sim R$ 
S65  $P \& Q \to (R \lor S) \& \sim (R \& S), R \& Q \to S,$ 
 $S \to ((R \& Q) \lor (\sim R \& \sim Q)) \lor \sim P \vdash P \to \sim Q$ 
S66  $\sim (P \& \sim Q) \lor \sim (\sim R \& \sim S),$ 
 $\sim S \& \sim Q, T \to (\sim S \to \sim R \& P) \vdash \sim T$ 
\*S67  $P \& Q \to R \lor S \vdash (P \to R) \lor (Q \to S)$ 
\*S68  $P \& Q \to (R \lor S) \& \sim (R \& S), R \& Q \to S,$ 
 $S \to ((R \& Q) \lor (\sim R \& \sim Q)) \lor \sim P \vdash P \to \sim Q$ 
S69  $(P \to Q) \to (Q \to P) \vdash (P \to Q) \to (\sim P \to \sim Q)$ 

## 2.3 Theorems

## **Theorem**

*Definition.* A **THEOREM** is a sentence that can be proved from the empty set of premises.

*Comment.* We can assert that a given sentence is a theorem by presenting it as the conclusion of a sequent with nothing to the left of the turnstile.

Example.

Prove  $\vdash$  P & Q  $\rightarrow$  Q & P.

1 (1) P & Q A

1 (2) Q 1 & E

1 (3) P 1 & E

1 (4) Q & P 2,3 & I

(5) P & Q  $\rightarrow$  Q & P 4  $\rightarrow$  I (1)

*Comment.* Note that in step 5 we discharge assumption 1. Hence, the final conclusion rests on no assumptions (i.e., the assumption-set is the empty set).