

$$\forall x Fx \rightarrow \forall x Gx \vdash Fm \rightarrow \exists x Gx$$

Model:

$$U: \{m, a\}$$

$$F: \{m\}$$

$$G: \{ \}$$

Expansion:

The premise ($\forall x Fx \rightarrow \forall x Gx$) expands to

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Fm & \& Fa \rightarrow Gm & \& Ga \\ T & \& F & \& F \\ & F & \rightarrow & F \\ & & & T \end{array}$$

The conclusion ($Fm \rightarrow \exists x Gx$) expands to

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Fm \rightarrow Gm \vee Ga \\ T & F \vee F \\ T \rightarrow F \\ F \end{array}$$

The conclusion is false in this interpretation and the premise is true; hence, this interpretation is a countermodel for the given sequent.

Exercise 6.2 Construct countermodels and expansions to show the following sequents invalid.

- *i $\forall x Fx \rightarrow \forall x Gx \vdash \forall x(Fx \rightarrow Gx)$
- *ii $\exists x Fx \rightarrow \exists x Gx \vdash \forall x(Fx \rightarrow Gx)$
- *iii $\exists x Fx \& \exists x Gx \vdash \exists x(Fx \& Gx)$
- *iv $\exists x(Fx \vee Gx) \vdash \forall x Fx \vee \forall x Gx$
- *v $\exists x(Fx \rightarrow Gx) \vdash \exists x Fx \rightarrow \exists x Gx$
- *vi $\exists x(Fx \rightarrow Gx) \vdash \forall x Fx \rightarrow \forall x Gx$
- *vii $\forall x Fx \leftrightarrow \forall x Gx \vdash \forall x(Fx \leftrightarrow Gx)$
- *viii $\exists x Fx \leftrightarrow \exists x Gx \vdash \forall x(Fx \leftrightarrow Gx)$